



**ARCHAEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS
PGT-PG&E PIPELINE EXPANSION PROJECT
IDAHO, WASHINGTON, OREGON, AND CALIFORNIA**

Michael J. Moratto
General Editor

**Volume V
TECHNICAL STUDIES**

Authors

Robert U. Bryson, Craig E. Skinner, and Richard M. Pettigrew

with

Kenneth R. Bethard, Gary C. Bowyer, Catherine S. Fowler, Amy J. Gilreath,
Douglas R. Harro, William R. Hildebrandt, Sally Ishikawa, Patricia Mikkelsen,
Janet M. Mitchell, Michael J. Moratto, Scott Mumma, Margaret Newman,
Kathryn Puseman, Matthew J. Root, Linda Scott-Cummings, Nancy D. Sharp,
Nancy A. Stenholm, Gerald Upshaw, Philip R. Watson, and Eric Wohlgemuth



Prepared by:

INFOTEC Research, Inc.
5088 N. Fruit Avenue, Suite 101
Fresno, California 93711
and

Far Western

Anthropological Research Group, Inc.
1615 Fifth Street, Suite B
Davis, California 95616

Submitted to:

Pacific Gas Transmission Company
Att'n.: Mr. John Cassady
121 S.W. Morrison Ave., Suite 500
Portland, Oregon 97204

in partial fulfillment of:

Subcontract No. 20822-SC-41

March 1995

Confidential—Not for Public Distribution

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
1.0 PROJECTILE POINT TYPOLOGY	
1.1 Pacific Northwest	1-1
1.2 California	1-40
2.0 DEFINITIONS OF LITHIC MATERIALS: ANALYTICAL PROTOCOLS	
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Flaked Stone Tools and Cores	2-1
2.3 Debitage Analysis	2-38
2.4 Ground Stone Tools	2-50
2.5 Miscellaneous Stone Objects	2-60
2.6 Summary	2-61
3.0 LITHIC SPECIMEN DATA	3-1
4.0 OBSIDIAN CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES	
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Methods	4-11
4.3 Results of X-Ray Fluorescence Analyses	4-19
4.4 Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Research	4-52
5.0 OBSIDIAN HYDRATION STUDIES	
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Methods	5-20
5.3 Results	5-21
5.4 The One Micron Factor	5-29
5.5 Rates of Hydration for Selected Sources	5-30
5.6 Prehistoric Patterns of Obsidian Source Use Through Time	5-33
5.7 Conclusions and Recommendations	5-48
6.0 RADIOCARBON AGE DETERMINATIONS	
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Methods	6-3
6.3 Results and Discussion	6-7

	Page
7.0 TEPHROCHRONOLOGIC STUDIES	
7.1 Introduction	7-1
7.2 Geologic Sources of Volcanic Tephra in the Project Area	7-1
7.3 Methods	7-6
7.4 Results of Electron Microprobe Analyses	7-15
7.5 Discussion	7-16
7.6 Conclusions	7-20
8.0 FAUNAL REMAINS FROM 1992-1993 EXCAVATIONS	
8.1 Introduction	8-1
8.2 Idaho and Washington Prehistoric Sites	8-2
8.3 Idaho and Washington Historic Sites	8-2
8.4 Northern Oregon Prehistoric Sites	8-4
8.5 Northern Oregon Historic Sites	8-8
8.6 Central Oregon Prehistoric Sites	8-11
8.7 Central Oregon Historic Sites	8-19
8.8 South-Central Oregon Prehistoric Sites	8-22
8.9 South-Central Oregon Historic Sites	8-24
8.10 Northern California Prehistoric Sites	8-26
8.11 Northern California Historic Sites	8-37
8.12 Central California Prehistoric Sites	8-38
8.13 Central California Historic Sites	8-42
9.0 IMMUNOLOGICAL ANALYSES	
9.1 Introduction	9-1
9.2 Materials and Methods	9-2
9.3 Results	9-4
9.4 Conclusions	9-8
10.0 ARCHAEOBOTANICAL DATA	
10.1 Introduction	10-1
10.2 Methods	10-2
10.3 Ethnobotanical Review	10-5
10.4 Archaeobotanical Analyses by Paleoresearch Laboratories	10-12
10.5 Archaeobotanical Analyses by Botana Labs	10-132
10.6 Archaeobotanical Analyses by FWARG	10-156
10.7 Historical Archaeobotanical Analyses	10-158
11.0 HUMAN OSTEOLOGY	
11.1 Introduction	11-1
11.2 Human Remains from Four California Sites	11-2
12.0 PERISHABLE ARTIFACTS FROM 35-GM-110	
12.1 Purpose of the Study	12-1
12.2 Methods	12-1

	Page
12.3 Description of the Collection	12-1
12.4 Preliminary Conclusions and Research Potential	12-9
12.5 Recommended Analyses	12-10
12.6 Conclusions	12-10
13.0 TEXTILE ANALYSIS	
13.1 Introduction	13-1
13.2 Methods	13-1
13.3 Results and Interpretations	13-2
13.4 Summary	13-4
14.0 DENTURE ANALYSIS	
14.1 Introduction	14-1
14.2 Methods	14-1
14.3 Results and Interpretations	14-1
14.4 Summary	14-2
15.0 CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	
15.1 Introduction	15-1
15.2 Methods	15-1
15.3 Results and Interpretations	15-3
15.4 Summary	15-4
REFERENCES CITED	R-1
 APPENDICES	
A PROJECTILE POINT DATA	
A.1 Projectile Point Data: Northwest	A.1-1
A.2 Projectile Point Data Illustrations by Type: Northwest	A.2-1
A.3 Projectile Point Data: California	A.3-1
B LITHIC SPECIMEN DATA	
B.1 Northwest Flaked Stone Analysis	B.1-1
B.2 California Flaked Stone Analysis	B.2-1
B.3 Lithic Specimen Metric Data	B.3-1
C RESULTS OF OBSIDIAN STUDIES	
C.1 Northwest Obsidian Characterization Studies: Chemical Data	C.1-1
C.2 California Obsidian Characterization Studies: Chemical Data	C.2-1
C.3 Northwest Obsidian Hydration Measurements: Data	C.3-1
C.4 California Obsidian Hydration Measurements: Data	C.4-1
C.5 Obsidian Sources Identified During PEP Studies	C.5-1

Figure	Page	
4-4	Plot of zirconium values and iron-manganese ratios for Grasshopper Flat/Lost Iron Well/Red Switchback and East Medicine Lake projectile points	4-16
4-5	Distribution of frequencies of zirconium values for Grasshopper Flat/Lost Iron Well/Red Switchback, East Medicine Lake, and Grasshopper Group debitage . .	4-17
4-6	Plot of zirconium values and iron manganese ratios for Grasshopper Flat/Lost Iron Well/Red Switchback, East Medicine Lake, and Grasshopper Group debitage . .	4-17
4-7	Location of characterized Oregon PEP archaeological sites and obsidian sources identified during trace element studies	4-21
4-8	Scatterplot of Sr plotted versus Zr for all Oregon artifacts correlated with known geologic sources	4-22
4-9	Geologic sketch map of Newberry Caldera region showing the location of major obsidian sources	4-32
4-10	Relative frequency over time of McKay Butte and Newberry Volcano obsidian at 35-DS-263 and 35-DS-429 based on hydration rim width	4-35
4-11	Scatterplot of Rb versus Zr for obsidian artifacts from 35-DS-263 and 35-DS-557 . .	4-37
4-12	Distribution of characterized California PEP archaeological sites and identified sources	4-41
4-13	Scatterplot of Sr versus Zr for all California artifacts with known sources	4-42
4-14	Scatterplot of Sr versus Zr for PEP artifacts with unknown sources	4-51
5-1	Distribution of Project sites selected for obsidian hydration studies	5-2
5-2	Major variables affecting the rate of hydration	5-8
5-3	Examples of the results of different hydration rates applied to the diffusion model ($x^2=kt$) of hydration developed by Friedman and his associates	5-11
5-4	Ranges of obsidian hydration measurements for artifacts from PEP sites located in different Oregon and California counties	5-22
5-5	Frequency distribution of hydration rims associated with Big Obsidian Flow chemical group artifacts	5-25
5-6	Frequency distribution of hydration rim values for post-and pre-Mazama artifacts from 35-DS-263 and 35-DS-557 that were correlated with the Newberry Volcano chemical group	5-26
5-7	Distribution of hydration rim values for pre-Mazama artifacts correlated with the McKay Butte, Unknown X, and Newberry Volcano sources	5-27
5-8	Frequency distribution of hydration rim values for samples correlated with the Glass Mountain source	5-28
5-9	Published and proposed obsidian hydration rates for selected sources	5-31
5-10	Location of major sources of obsidian identified during PEP obsidian studies	5-34
5-11	Range of obsidian hydration measurements for PEP artifacts from major sources of obsidian in Oregon and California	5-35
5-12	Frequency distribution of obsidian hydration rim measurements from artifacts correlated with the Glass Buttes source	5-37
5-13	Frequency distribution of obsidian hydration rim measurements from artifacts correlated with the McKay Butte source	5-38
5-14	Frequency distribution of obsidian hydration rim measurements from artifacts correlated with the Newberry Volcano chemical group	5-39
5-15	Frequency distribution of obsidian hydration rim measurements from artifacts correlated with the Obsidian Cliffs source	5-40
5-16	Frequency distribution of obsidian hydration rim measurements from artifacts correlated with the Quartz Mountain source	5-41